

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



June 2015 No 872

Fredrick Töben reviews: THE BAD WAR. The Truth NEVER Taught about World War 2

By M. S. KING

If you are sick and tired of believing in the **Good War** that ended in 1945 with the defeat of the Axis powers, and you do not have the inclination to read a dozen small-print revisionist tomes that tell the true story about this horrendous period, then Mike King's **The Bad War** is just the antidote you need against the lies and deceptions propagated since 1945.

This A4 sized 245-page book, divided into seven sections, is a compilation of verified factual information about World War Two, but not only. It actually sets the scene before this catastrophe began to when the two world wars were planned.

For example, in the 26 pages that make up **Section 1: Seeds of Future World Wars/ 1848-1913**, King manages to give the reader a textual and pictorial overview of what is regarded as the prelude to subsequent wars, which the sub-headings clearly address and that most current history books gloss over or dismiss. A pithy chronologically informs the reader who does not have the time to research the subtle details that set the scene of things to come:

1848 'Spring Time of the Peoples'; Pre-planned Revolutions Affect 50 Countries;
Karl Marx Publishes The 'Communist Manifesto';
1865 Jewish Mogul Establishes Reuters News Agency;
1870-71 France Starts – And Loses – A War With The German State Of Prussia;
January, 1871 German States Declare Unity/ Globalists Seek To Control New German Empire;
1871 The New German Reich Grants Citizenship Rights To Jews/The Jews Of Germany Prosper;
1874 Reds Attempt To Kill Chancellor Bismarck;
October, 1873 Germany, Austria-Hungaria, & Russia Form 'The League Of The Three Emperors';
1877-78 Russia Defeats The Ottoman Empire (Turkey) In The Russo-Turkish War;
May 11 & June 2, 1878 Reds Make Two Assassination Attempts Against Kaiser Wilhelm I;
June, 1878 Benjamin Disraeli Plays Dirty Tricks In Berlin;

June, 1878 Disraeli's Dirty Tricks Cause The Breakup Of The Three Emperors League;
1882 The Anglo-Egyptian War/ Rothschild's Britain Advances Towards Palestine;
June, 1887 'The Reinsurance Treaty'/Germany And Russia Form A Secret Alliance;
April 20, 1889 Adolf Hitler Is Born;
1890 New German Kaiser Dismisses Bismarck/Turns Down Russian Offer To Renew The Reinsurance Treaty;
January, 1894 Russia Entangled Into A 'Franco-Russian Alliance';
1896 Globalist-Zionist Purchases The New York Times;
August, 1897 The 1st Zionist Congress Meets In Basel Switzerland/The Plot To Steal Palestine Is Hatched;
December 8, 1897 The Dying Bismarck's Final Warning And Prediction;
1898 U.S. Starts A War With Spain To Gain A Globalist Foothold In Asian Pacific;
April, 1904 The 'Entente Cordial'/UK and France Form An Alliance/Germany Is Suspicious;
1905 Zionist Banker & Reds Attempt Revolution In Russia;
1905 The Mysterious Protocols Of The Learned Elders Of Zion Is Published In Russia;
March 25, 1906 Zionist-Owned New York Times Publishes False Claim That 6 Million Jews Face Extermination In Russia;
1907 Tripple Entente Brings Europe Closer To War;
November, 1912 New World Order Gang Captures The U.S. Presidency/ Teddy Roosevelt Runs Interference For Wilson;
1910-1920 New American Zionist Kingpins Emerge.

*

Section: 2 deals with the 1914-1918 war years: **A Summary of World War I & The Russian Revolution**. Again, as in the previous section, specific details are brought to the reader's attention in text, table, maps and pictures.

*

Section 3: touches on **Nationalism vs Globalism/1919-1933, The Competing Forces Of Nationalism And Globalist Communism**, and in twenty pages King manages to set the scene, and again inserts a telling example of an event that

the "court historians" would never mention. He quotes a *Chicago Tribune* report from Berlin of 19 July 1921 and published by *The New York Times*: *Russia's 6,000,000 Jews face extermination by massacre. As the famine is spreading, the counter-revolutionary movement is gaining and the Soviet's control is waning. This statement is borne out by official documents presented to the Berlin Government, which show that numerous pogroms are raging in all parts of Russia.* - i.e. 'White' Counter Revolutionaries.

And, something often neglected in standard history texts, comes to the fore. Two years later, in 1923, Germany's hyper-inflation wipes out its middle class, which forms the stabilising factor in any healthy society. And thus the two-pronged Zionist/Jewish attack on Germany begins: ideological from the Soviets and financial from London/New York.

*

Section 4: 1933-1939 The Rise Of Hitler And The Plot To Take Him Down again mentions a *New York Times* report that to the discerning Revisionist reader is significant:

"Washington, May 30 - A petition to Prime Minister Stanley Baldwin expressive of the hope that Great Britain will steer a course favouring the establishment of a free Jewish nation in Palestine such as would provide refuge for **millions of persecuted Jews in Eastern Europe and Germany** was presented to Sir Ronald Lindsay, the British Ambassador, today by a Christian delegation representing the Pro-Palestine Federation of America ... The Petition, stressed the intolerable suffering of the **millions of Jews in the European holocaust**". (9)

It is at this point that I should mention a basic problem I have experienced with King's research. Although he gives footnote references, in the Footnotes pages there is for each section only a one liner: Internet Search Terms. I have to this point ignored them all, and trusted King's integrity. But when I saw this item I thought I should swing over from the proverbial trust to control. So, what to do? I insert into Google the phrase: **Americans appeal for Jewish refuge**, and what appears is this at:

<http://www.nytimes.com/learning/teachers/archival/19360531petition.pdf>

The New York Times

Published: May 31, 1936

Copyright © The New York Times

AMERICANS APPEAL FOR JEWISH REFUGE

**Pro-Palestine Federation Asks
Britain to Take a Strong
Course in Holy Land.**

AIMS OF MANDATE CITED

**Petition Urges It Be Made
Clear to 'Turbulent Element'
That These Will Be Carried Out.**

Special to THE NEW YORK TIMES.

WASHINGTON, May 30.—A petition addressed to Prime Minister Stanley Baldwin expressive of the hope that Great Britain will steer a course favoring the establishment of a free Jewish nation in Palestine such as would provide refuge for millions of persecuted Jews in Eastern Europe and Germany was presented to Sir Ronald Lindsay, the British Ambassador, today by a Christian delegation representing the Pro-Palestine Federation of America and headed by the Right Rev. James F. Freeman, Episcopal Bishop of Washington.

The petition, in expressing the opinion of enlightened Christian leadership in the United States, favoring a larger Jewish immigration into Palestine, stressed the intolerable sufferings of the millions of Jews in "the European holocaust."

Urges Definite Policy

Indeed, you note the final three words spell out **"the European holocaust"**!

The above is only a copy of the two opening paragraphs of a very interesting article, which deserves a full reading, but it certainly confirms what King has written about it and how such undercurrents helped determine shaping policies that a superficial reading of official documentation neglects outright.

After all, that is the reason why a closer scrutiny of matters Holocaust has been turned into a taboo topic. As one Australian Zionist Jew stated publicly about his legal actions against me personally - his aim is to "stop him from functioning", through legal means, and that means activating laws that are designed specifically to achieve that goal. His killer instinct has become for him a self-preservation

event consisting of untold fabrications, deceptions and outright lies.

King does great work in eliciting such illuminating events and incidents, and this justifies his claim in the title of his book, which in itself is quite a claim to make: ***The Truth Never Taught About World War 2.***

*

Section 5: World War II - Part 1/ 08/1939 - 12/1941 From Poland to the Eve of Pearl Harbor

Also now striking in King's narrative is his inclusion of items such as: ***Forbidden History: Quote To Remember.***

One such item in Section 5 reads:

"German men and women were hunted like wild beasts through the streets of Bromberg. When they were caught, they were mutilated and torn to pieces by the Polish mob. ... Every day the butchery increased. ... Thousands of Germans fled from their homes in Poland with nothing more than the clothes that they wore.... On the nights of August 25 to August 31 inclusive, there occurred, besides innumerable attacks on civilians of German blood, 44 perfectly authenticated acts of armed violence against German official persons and property." - William Joyce, Irish defector to Germany.

The butchering of German civilians is, quite obviously, counteracted through the incessant Holocaust propaganda of lies, which to date effectively deflects from an open and unbiased re-evaluation of how Germans suffered during and after World War Two. After all, so the implication, they started it all by persecuting God's chosen people!

Earlier in the book King quotes Albert Einstein, who expresses a hatred that, again, is more revealing of Einstein himself and which no doubt serves to deflect from Einstein's own personal and professional shonky life-style:

Due to their wretched traditions the Germans are such a badly messed-up people that it will be very difficult to remedy the situation by sensible, not to speak of humane, means. I keep hoping that at the end of the war, with God's benevolent help, they will largely kill each other off.

*

Section 6: World War II - Part 2/12/1941 - 08/1945 From U.S. Entry to the Surrender of Japan.

By this time in my reading of King's book I also noted that punctuation and other matters could have been improved had a thorough editor gone through the manuscript again. But then I appreciate how someone like King has managed to bring together such an abundance of factual history without there being more serious matters of which to complain. [I am advised the second edition has corrected such matters,]

King clearly dispels the myth that a war economy is good for the people. It is good for those who run the industrial complex but it certainly is not good for the ordinary person who is deprived of the most basic items. He mentions that car bumpers were made of wood during the war years while war materials were produced to be destroyed in conflict zones, etc.

The murderous "liberation" by the US, Soviet, French North Africans and their various Allies of the Axis powers' controlled Europe witnesses what did not happen under German military rule: the raping of civilian women and children. German internment camps were not death camps, and I met a Polish Auschwitz survivor in Adelaide in 1991 during the Adelaide War Crimes Trials who enlightened me about the effects of a Typhus epidemic: *After two weeks you are a skeleton, I know, I survived Auschwitz. Without Zyklon B we would have had greater losses.*

The 30 January 1945 sinking of the Wilhelm Gustloff with over ten thousand on board is celebrated by the Soviet Union as an heroic act - a genocidal act becomes another of those twisted acts that re-educated Germans celebrate such as the 13-15 February 1945 Dresden fire bombing, which some regard as a liberation from a horrible dictatorship.

The Globalists plans almost came to fruition

King mentions how the globalist's strategy comes to grief after the war with Communism developing into a nationalist ideology. I think even Bertrand Russell pointed this out when he noted that the global aspiration of Communism fell short with Russian nationalism asserting itself against the globalist-led London and New York. The Soviet client states all knew that Moscow and the Russian language determined their policies.

King's provocative sentence sums up what is still facing us seventy years after the event:

Adolf Hitler nearly smashed the New World Order. It is for this reason that he must forever be portrayed in the public mind as the most evil and wretched monster who ever lived.

The allegation that homicidal gas chambers were used to kill people is just one such propaganda tool to defeat those who aspire towards national autarky, self-sufficiency and financial independence, thereby disconnecting from the global usury network that continues to enslave those who have succumbed to materialistic hedonism as a life-style choice.

The 6 and 10 August 1945 bombing of Japan's cities of Hiroshima and Nagasaki respectively, not to mention the [fire bombing](#) of Tokyo on 9-10 March 1945 that incinerated an estimated 100,000 civilians, injuring a million more and leaving a million homeless, I shall look at when I read Joshua Blakeney's latest book *Japan Bites Back: Documents Contextualising Pearl Harbour*.

*

Section 7: The Aftermath of World War II/1945 - 1950 The Horrible Aftermath Of World War II is an 18-page attempt to sum up an episode in a continuous human drama, or as Greek philosopher Heraclitus formulated it: ***War is the Father of all Things***. Perhaps we can add to that [Claudia von Werlhof's Nature is the Mother of Life!](#)

In leaflets Stalin's Jewish propagandist, Ilya Ehrenberg, puts it thus:

Kill! Kill! In the German race there is evil; not one among the living, not one among the unborn is but evil! Follow the precepts of Stalin. Stamp out the fascist beast once and for all in its lair! Use force and break the racial pride of the German women. Take them as your lawful booty. Kill! As you storm onward, kill, you gallant soldiers of the Red Army.

King states:

Two million German females ranging in age from 8-80 will be gang-raped, sodomized and beaten badly, often in view of their children or family members.

And how many of these abused women were then subsequently killed?

*

Mike King's ***Closing Statement***, spells out the theme of his book in one particular paragraph:

>>In a broader philosophical sense, that's what World War II was all about. It was a titanic struggle between the forces of classical *Europa* (as well as historic *Japan*) and those of the culturally degenerate and predatory Capitalist-Communist hybrid New World Order in which we live in, no, *exist in* today. **And the true "good guys" lost!**

There is nothing that can be done to undo the evil folly of the past; but by correcting the misinformation associated with it, we can, perhaps, at least avoid more bloodbaths in the future. Make no mistake: this dirty game is still going on and may one day culminate in World War III; pity the NWO against the "disobedient" sovereign powers of the new Russia and China. Only players change; the great game does not.<<


*

Concluding comment

The one-page *Foreword* by Jeff Rense claims King's book:

...serves as the perfect reference guide to help you navigate through the sea of lies which have been fed to us since childhood, and continue to be fed to us each day. King's epic makes an utterly unique and important contribution to understanding the world – a contribution which we can all appreciate, and all understand.

To these expressed sentiments I add: Indeed, and well-done Mike!



And you can purchase a copy of this excellent book at:

<http://www.amazon.com/The-Bad-War-Truth-Taught/dp/1507764995>



M. S. King is a private investigative journalist and researcher based in the New York City area. A 1987 graduate of Rutgers University, King's subsequent 30 year career in Marketing & Advertising has equipped him with a unique perspective when it comes to understanding how "public opinion" is indeed scientifically manufactured. Madison Ave marketing acumen combines with 'City Boy' instincts to make M.S. King one of the most tenacious detectors of "things that don't add up" in the world today.

Says King of his admitted quirks, irreverent disdain for "conventional wisdom", and uncanny ability to ferret out and weave together important data points that others miss: "Had Sherlock Holmes been an actual historical personage, I would have been his reincarnation." King's other interests include: the animal kingdom, philosophy, chess, cooking, literature, history (with emphasis on events of the late 19th through the 20th centuries).

*

Mike King's website is at:

<http://www.tomatobubble.com/>

On 26 May 2015 Dr Robert Faurisson wrote:

The following 10-page article provides information on an argument that is important for those who, like any revisionist researcher worthy of the name, care about historical EXACTITUDE.

In the case of the most ordinary of crimes, justice and police officials know that a forensic examination is absolutely indispensable. However, for seventy years, in the case of what is reputed to be the most atrocious crime ever by its nature and its proportions, that of "the Holocaust" or "the Shoah", the judges have dispensed with any medico-legal study conducted under the rules established by law and observed by good judicial practice.

There has been just one exception: in France, a forensic examination of the alleged Nazi gas chamber in the Struthof camp near Strasbourg was ordered shortly after the war and assigned to Professor René Fabre, Dean of the Paris faculty of pharmacy and a toxicologist. On December 1, 1945 the professor came to a negative conclusion: he

had found no traces of hydrocyanic acid where such traces ought to have been discovered, i.e. in the enclosure called "gas chamber" and in the exhaust stack of that enclosure; nor were there any such traces in the corpses left by the Germans at the University of Strasbourg's institute of anatomy, corpses of Jews alleged to have been gassed by the camp commandant, Josef Kramer, alone, without the assistance of a single gas specialist. Lacking any training in chemistry, J. Kramer was, in civilian life, a simple accountant! The report by Professor Fabre has vanished but I personally discovered, in 1980, a document certifying the results of his study. Even Jean-Claude Pressac admitted that Fabre's findings were negative: "The result [of toxicological testing for traces of cyanide] at Struthof was negative, which was somewhat embarrassing" (*The Struthof Album*, Edited by Serge Klarsfeld, [published by] The Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, New York, 1985, p. 12).

In seventy years, no forensic study proving the existence and operation of the "Nazi gas chambers"!

Robert FAURISSON, December 31, 2014

In tribute to Professor Ben Zion Dinur (1884-1973), founder of Yad Vashem in 1953, forced to resign in 1959 for having preferred scientific History to Jewish Memory

as explained in my article in French of June 15, 2006

For the most commonplace murder the judicial authority, happily enough, is never satisfied with "testimonies" but demands, before anything else, a forensic examination; to this purpose, the technical service of the police examines both the crime scene and the murder weapon while, for their part, the forensic police put to laboratory analysis all physical elements liable to enlighten the investigators. It is afterwards, in light of the forensic examination and an analysis of the facts as materially established, that one may knowledgeably seek to gauge the value of certain witnesses' accounts. Personally, for over half a century I have wanted to know what the formidable "murder weapon" that was the Nazi gas chamber looked like; I expected to see a technical illustration of that weapon and an explanation of its use. I noted that in some former German concentration camps, since turned into theme parks, visitors were shown a room said to be a "Nazi gas chamber" but, curiously, not the least scientific evidence could be supplied to support that assertion, no results of any

forensic examination. In the early 1960s, on my first visit to the *Centre de documentation juive contemporaine* (CDJC) in Paris, my only question to those in charge had been: "Can you show me a photo of a Nazi gas chamber?" They were unable to do so. Ditto at the Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington in 1994, and in a good number of other places. The general public may be fooled with photos like that of the American politicians "visiting the Dachau gas chamber" but no longer will anyone venture to employ the same procedure when dealing with a researcher who knows his subject.

After several years of research consisting in visits, readings, meetings with experts – for example, those of the central laboratory of the Paris police, rue de Dantzig in the 15th arrondissement or, in the United States – right from the beginning of my investigation into the execution gas chambers of certain penitentiaries – I had accumulated a considerable amount of information 1) on German gas chambers for disinfestation using Zyklon B, a product whose main component was hydrocyanic acid, 2) on American gas chambers for the execution of a single prisoner, also by means of hydrocyanic acid. However, at the same period, I was obliged to admit that I still did not know how, technically, those supposed Nazi gas chambers, used day and night to exterminate, at Auschwitz for instance, hundreds or thousands of people at a time, could have been made and could have worked.

I did not succeed in finding anyone, in France or abroad, to explain to me how the gassers and their helpers could have handled the corpses without mortally contaminating themselves (hydrocyanic acid penetrates the skin and stays there, whereas with airing out, forced ventilation and still other means, it can be removed from clothes, shorn hair, metallic objects or other things). According to a text that was presented as a confession of Rudolf Höss, one of the three successive commandants of Auschwitz, I remained puzzled and no one could explain the mysteries to me. For example, how had the members of a *Sonderkommando* or "special squad", once the victims' screaming stopped and a ventilation device was turned on, been able to enter "*sofort*" (immediately) what would have been a sea of hydrogen cyanide, and that while eating and smoking, in other words, without even wearing a gas mask? Zyklon B consisted of hydrocyanic acid on an inert porous base. Invented in 1922 and patented in late 1926, it had the disadvantage of being explosive, ignitable by the slightest spark, even from static electricity. To use it as we are told it was used for the Auschwitz-I "gas chamber", in proximity to a crematory oven heating up, would have been sheer madness. It was I who, ultimately, discovered the building plans of the crematorium at Auschwitz-I and those of crematoria II, III, IV and V at Birkenau. They had been kept hidden since the end of the war. I found them on [March 19, 1976 in the archives of the Auschwitz State Museum](#). Thus I can state, in knowledge of the facts, that it would have been impossible to make 2,000 persons – as asserted by R. Höss in the account he gave at Nuremberg on April 15, 1946 – enter a space of 210 square metres (where, incidentally, assuming it were possible after all, there would hardly have been any need of gas to kill them, for they would simply have died of asphyxiation due to a rapid depletion of oxygen). Never could the men of the *Sonderkommando* have set about, with all their might, the cyclopean task of disentangling, in an atmosphere full of hydrocyanic acid, so many bodies from one another and dragging each to a small lift connecting to the upper floor and the oven room. I had learnt that, for a team of exterminators carrying out the simple disinfection of a house with Zyklon B, *any physical effort was strictly prohibited*, since it would have accelerated the men's breathing and so prevented the gas mask filters from serving their purpose. The rules specified that at the end of a building's disinfection, when it was time to open the windows to air out the premises, one must not persist in trying to open a window that offered resistance but instead go and open the others. (To those who claim, without any evidence, that the Germans destroyed all their gas chambers, I retort: "In that case, draw me the things which, according to you, the Germans destroyed".)

The stunning conclusion of this research: in nearly seventy years, neither the International Military Tribunal (IMT) at Nuremberg (1945-1946) nor any of the numerous other courts which have had to try cases of alleged crimes committed using gas

chambers (or gas vans) has ordered a single forensic examination. Better still: at the "Auschwitz Trial" in Frankfurt, running from December 20, 1963 to August 20, 1965, an inspection of certain points of the Auschwitz-Birkenau camp was held from December 14 to 16, 1964; one of the judges, Hotz, participated along with four prosecutors; however, it appears that the five men dispensed with any detailed inspection of the places where so many criminal gassings, followed by so many cremations, were said to have occurred. How can it be? A huge show trial had focused, twenty years after the war, on Auschwitz, capital of the greatest crime in world history, and the judges-accusers made not the faintest effort to inquire as to how such mass murder was first conceived, then perpetrated – and all over a period of years? Never has anyone been able to provide me with a copy of forensic examinations of the "crime of Auschwitz". I have been smothered with testimonies, stories, confessions, history books of which I have imposed on myself the most scrupulous reading but, all told, only to discover vague accounts defying the laws of physics or chemistry. One forensic examination, and one only, would have sufficed.

The crematoria of Auschwitz or Birkenau had at most, as I discovered in certain documents hidden since 1945, rooms called *Leichenhalle* or *Leichenkeller* (depositories, at ground level or semi-interred, for bodies) perfectly typical in their size and, above all, in their ventilation system. In 1982 I also discovered that there had been a forensic examination of the alleged gas chamber of the Struthof camp in Alsace, which I had visited in 1974 and which had looked to me a crude fake; I was later to learn that it was, in part, the product of work carried out after the war by a firm in the town of Saint-Michel-sur-Meurthe. Entrusted to Professor René Fabre, dean of the college of pharmacy in Paris, the examination concluded, as of December 1, 1945, on the absence of any trace whatsoever of hydrocyanic acid either 1) in the exhaust chimney of the alleged gas chamber and the scrapings taken from them (X jars and Y jars) or 2) in the corpses of the alleged Struthof gassing victims found in Strasbourg civil hospital. **René Fabre's report has disappeared from the French military justice archives** but we know its findings thanks to a paper in the file signed by three physicians who took part in the study: Drs Simonin, Piedelièvre and Fourcade ("[Whether 'Holocaust by gas' or 'Holocaust by bullets': no physical or forensic evidence!](#)"). The three were chagrined at the result reached by Fabre but they had still been honest and scrupulous enough to report it.

Meanwhile, I had had to wait until 1978-1979 for the daily *Le Monde* to publish [two texts](#) in which I demonstrated that the alleged Nazi gas chambers were technically impossible. On February 21, 1979, the same newspaper printed a "[declaration](#)" signed by [34 historians](#) retorting to me: "One must not ask oneself

how, *technically*, such a mass murder was possible; it was technically possible, since it happened". This fine bit of academic asininity was but an escape hatch allowing its authors to shirk their duty and refuse any response to my arguments, which were mainly of a physical, chemical and architectural order, but also documentary and historiographical. However, since that date, a multitude of authors – historians, journalists – have certainly tried to defend the thesis of the supposed Nazi gas chambers' existence and operation but none has been able to answer my request, repeated a hundred times: **"Show me or draw me a Nazi gas chamber!"** Still recently, a big book of quite scholarly appearance has been devoted to the alleged Nazi mass murders by poison gas, but in it there is not to be found **a single representation of a gas chamber, not one technical illustration, not the shadow of a concrete reply to my challenge.** It is the second edition, revised and corrected – released in 2012 –, of a book first published in 2011: ***Neue Studien zu nationalsozialistischen Massentötungen durch Giftgas / Historische Bedeutung, Technische Entwicklung, revisionistische Leugnung***, Berlin, Metropol Verlag, xxxiv + 446 pages, particularly dense. The principal authors are Günter Morsch and Bertrand Perz, with the collaboration of Astrid Ley. To these three names should be added about thirty others including, for example, Brigitte Bailer, Jean-Yves Camus, Barbara Distel, Richard J. Evans and Robert Jan van Pelt. The title means: "New studies on the National Socialist mass murders by poison gas / Historical significance, technical evolution and revisionist denial". But how can one devise the study of a lethal weapon's technical evolution without providing a single technical illustration of that weapon? How can one respond to "revisionist denial" without taking up its main challenge, which amounts to saying that the essential weapon of the alleged crime is obviously, quite simply, impossible to design and depict when one is aware, for example, of the unavoidable complication of an American gas chamber for the execution of a lone person? For, in an execution gassing, the difficulty lies not so much in killing another without killing oneself as in going, after the execution, to take a cyanide-infused body out of its seat and out of the chamber, without causing risk to anyone: a difficulty which, as has been noted, the Germans and the *Sonderkommando* members, for their part, apparently surmounted thousands of times every day. Let us repeat: to kill a crowd of people in a room with hydrocyanic acid is dangerous but not impossible; to enter the room afterwards, even with a gas mask, amid a host of cyanide-infused corpses and then proceed to extricate and carry them, in the course of a few hours, so as to make way for a new gassing of the same proportion, is in the domain of the impossible. The reader will have understood: serial mass gasings are just another **silly story** (as [Yehuda Bauer has admitted](#) in regard to what is abundantly said about "Wannsee") of the same kind as those about "Jewish soap", "lampshades of human skin", extermination of the Jewish

detainees at Treblinka by steam (official Nuremberg document PS-3311), their extermination at Auschwitz by electricity and in blast furnaces (the Soviet press in early February 1945), or, near Belzec, by quicklime (Jan Karski). There is an endless list of nonsensical tales in the manner of [Elie Wiesel](#) or Father [Patrick Desbois](#), about "geysers of blood", or a hand emerging from a mass grave to grab a shovel, or systematic extermination under quilts or pillows ("the Holocaust by suffocation"!). My own writings are not ignored in this big book, since my name appears 33 times (and not only 12, as the index may lead one to believe). "Mr Faurisson, you haunt my nights!" exclaimed in 1981, in a Paris courtroom, Bernard Jouanneau, lawyer and friend of Robert Badinter. Another time, in 1982, the same Jouanneau was to burst into sobs upon suddenly realising that the evidence of the existence of Nazi gas chambers he had just offered to the first chamber of the Paris court of appeal (presiding judge: François Grégoire) "was not worth very much" (his own words, in a moment of touching sincerity). I think I have also revealed to Raul Hilberg (an American Jew) and to Robert Jan van Pelt (a Canadian Jew, his successor as historian of "the Holocaust") how they have failed, each at his end, in their offers of proof. It is especially R. J. van Pelt who in the book in question takes charge of giving me a reply. His lines of penance (p. 343-354), which are pathetic, are essentially based on the writings of Jean-Claude Pressac, but van Pelt avoids disclosing that their author disowned them on June 15, 1995 (a month after his appearance in the XVIIth chamber of the Paris correctional court, where barrister Eric Delcroix, aided by my information, had subjected him to outright humiliation). Pressac went so far as to admit that **the present version, "though triumphant", of the official history of the extermination of the Jews was "rotten" with too many lies and doomed to "the wastebin of history"** (quoted in my analysis of May 5, 2000 entitled ["Valérie Igounet: 'Histoire du négationnisme en France'"](#)). But has not van Pelt himself admitted – in December 2009 – that the Auschwitz-Birkenau camp, where millions of pilgrims have gone on organised visits, contains, so to speak, no "physical evidence" of what we "know" (sic) about "the Holocaust" (["A case for letting nature take back Auschwitz"](#), *Toronto Star*, December 27, 2009)? Among historians, the myth of the Nazi gas chambers is on its last legs. Instead of trying to keep it alive artificially with the persistent clamour, spectacles, advertising, repression, threats, blackmail, it would be better simply to bury it, as the State of Israel finally decided to do with the body-corpse of Ariel Sharon.

In conclusion, if there is a fact on which we revisionists ought to call the attention of the layman, is it not this tacit agreement of all French or foreign judicial systems never to demand, for 70 years, any criminological inspection of the murder weapon, that is, an unprecedented weapon that enabled the killing, in industrial proportions, of

millions of victims? With one exception, that of Struthof, for which, as if by intent, a forensic examination produced a completely negative finding: no gas chambers, no gassed.

At bottom, all judicial systems have followed the example of the instance called International Military Tribunal which, in 1945-1946, assumed the right, as a court of "justice" set up by the winners of the recent war, to try its own vanquished. Its organiser, the American prosecutor Jackson, had declared with a fine cynicism: "As a military tribunal, this Court is a continuation of the war effort of the Allied nations", *IMT*, vol. XIX, p 398 – 26 July 1946). Articles 19 and 21 of its Charter read: "The Tribunal shall not be bound by technical rules of evidence [...]. The Tribunal shall not require proof of facts of common knowledge but shall take judicial notice thereof". Thus did allegations advanced without any proof by Allied propaganda receive the formal endorsement of a strictly Allied – and not "international" – tribunal. Better still, in accordance with the next and closing sentence of Article 21, a whole series of reports drafted by the winners on crimes imputed by themselves to the defeated were to be automatically received as authentic evidence, and no one would be allowed to challenge them! Such were the effects of that Tribunal's "judicial notice". And forty-five years afterwards there was to be something even more abhorrent in the domain of law: in France, "homeland of human rights", Laurent Fabius and his people got a Socialist-Communist majority in Parliament to pass (and to have published in the *Journal Officiel de la République Française* on July 14, 1990, for the 201st anniversary of the storming of the Bastille, bastion of the privilege-based regime of another time) a law forbidding, on pain of fine and imprisonment, any dispute (in whatsoever manner – including ironic expressions, as case law was to specify) of the reality of those crimes committed especially against Jews, a reality, however, never described or established by any technical or forensic police service. (On this point one will be wary of old Polish examinations attesting the existence of traces of hydrogen cyanide in hair or in metal objects – all disinfected –, or of an [examination undertaken at quite a late date](#) – around 1990 – in an attempt to reply to the "[Leuchter Report](#)" of 1988; that study, done by the Jan Sehn Institute in Cracow, proved embarrassing for the Poles and valuable for the revisionists). And I shall not expand here on the saga, in Vienna, of the forensic examination by Gerhard Jagschitz, or that by Walter Lüftl; the reader may look up those two names in my *Ecrits révisionnistes* in order to have an idea of the behaviour of certain Austrian judges who, seized with daring, ordered an examination and then, taking fright, capitulated. The name of a certain captain Fribourg, of the French army, and his "beginning of a study" of the alleged Dachau gas chamber may also be found.

The lie of the Nazi gas chambers will go down one day in history as one of the most fabulous impostures of all time. This lie has developed slowly, without plot or

conspiracy, and without the general public's becoming aware of it. If the good people have been so badly taken in, it has in a way been with their consent and cooperation. They have *believed*, then *wanted to believe*, then in the end *wanted to have others believe* and are now *legally bound to believe*. All this has happened in the same way as when a government wants to launch a peaceful population into a military campaign. Such a government has no need of either plot or conspiracy. Making a show of its sentiments of goodness, it will appeal, thanks to the servility of a "free press", to notions of rights, of justice and of virtue precisely because it is about to violate cynically rights, justice and virtue. The people will start believing the government, then go along with it and, finally, run with it. Year in, year out, they will find themselves at war, armed from head to foot. And they will readily fight "the evil beast", against which anything goes, starting with the right to lie and hate, then to plunder, rape, kill by hanging and, supreme reward, the right of their establishment to write the history of it all as it sees fit. Spontaneously they will get into the habit of hating, lying, marching in step. And those who try to make them see reason will no longer be anything but "expert liars, gangsters of history", diabolical "Nazis" quite simply. The lesson has been learnt well. But now it is going to have to be unlearned, reviewed, corrected. We are at the dawn of the year 2015. Let's draw up the death certificate of the historical lie of the magical Nazi gas chambers. In a return to respect for accuracy in history, let's promise ourselves that this gigantic imposture will be "the very last". Until the next one, of course. For – let's take care not to forget it – Céline, who, as early as 1950, denounced "the magical gas chamber" and stated: "It was everything, the gas chamber. It allowed EVERYTHING!", added nevertheless: "They'll have to find something else, oh! my mind's at rest". In 1932, in *Journey to the End of the Night*, he warned: "The frenzy of lying and believing is catching like the itch". Frailty of man! Where can he have got this facility, then this ardour to believe in a diabolical weapon that he is not even allowed to see? To aim straight, one must aim low. So then, let's aim low! Let's not have recourse to mass psychology, psychoanalysis, sociology or any other science! I wonder whether a simple point of vocabulary (in French with the curious expression "*chambre à gaz*", in English with "gas chamber", in German with "*Gaskammer*" and in other languages as well) would explain the ease and appetite with which such a story has been swallowed. It so happens that the French term "*chambre à gaz*" is based on that of "*chambre à coucher*" (bedroom). To name the instrument that administers death, a combination of words that implicitly evoke rest and sleep has been chosen. Why, then, rack one's brains wondering what that instrument looked like and how it worked? A gas chamber, in the minds of the simple, is simple: it must be like a bedroom or any room, but with gas inside. A man is put in it; some time later, the individual is found dead and it only remains to take away the body; as for

the gas, it has dissipated. There is no need to undertake a scientific investigation: proof of a gassing is not to be sought in a forensic examination, for testimonies will suffice. After all, hadn't the Germans already distinguished themselves during the First World War by their use of poison gas? **One of the most brazen lies in history**, the alleged Nazi gas chambers, of course originated in hatred and in the inveterate habit of lying but it **has thrived on naivety**. In perfectly good faith, the good people were outraged at that "Nazi horror". In doing so, they lent a hand to a gigantic slander, a criminal lie of worldwide proportions. *Sancta simplicitas!* Blessed ingenuousness! Historians are beginning to show dissent against this mix of lies and candour, whilst the third post-war generation manifests annoyance at the continuing indoctrination. And the Internet is there. The conditions for a reawakening of minds seem to exist. The Jews, as a whole, and the Israelis would have been well advised to listen to the founder of Yad Vashem, Prof. Ben Zion Dinur, born Dinaburg. Some Jews, such as Josef Ginzburg (aka Joseph G. Burg), Gilad Atzmon and Paul Eisen have done so. They deserve our esteem. But, at this moment, our thoughts must go first to the sizeable cohort of revisionists humiliated, insulted, scorned, beaten, driven to ruin, suicide, sentenced to imprisonment and sometimes even forced into dishonour. And to begin with, our thoughts must go to the very first of them: the Frenchmen Maurice Bardèche, author of [Nuremberg or the Promised Land](#) (1948), and Paul Rassinier, author of *Le Mensonge d'Ulysse* (1950, published in English under the title [The Holocaust Story and the Lies of Ulysses](#)).

Practical conclusion: from now on, each time an opponent of revisionism takes the liberty of invoking another testimony in support of the existence of the alleged Nazi gas chambers, let's ask him to show us, instead, a forensic study of the murder weapon, the weapon of the crime of all crimes. Each time, on site at Auschwitz-I, Majdanek, Mauthausen, Struthof or elsewhere, that a guide has the nerve to state: "This place is (or: was) a gas chamber in which the Nazis killed Jews", let's demand, instead of testimonies, proof, one proof only (forensic proof supplied by the appropriate police services), in support of that accusation. To end, in the face of the judges who try us, let's launch the question: "What right has anyone to threaten with the scourge of the law a person who refuses to believe in the existence of a prodigious weapon which, in seventy years, no one has never been able to describe or show, not even with an explanatory drawing?" There can be no right to convict a man who asked the French University how exactly such slaughterhouses were designed and how they functioned, and to whom thirty-four members of that university pitifully replied with the asinine words quoted above: "One must not ask oneself how, *technically*, such a mass murder was possible; it was technically possible, since it happened".

One proof, finally, or... let the imposters keep quiet!

Supplement No. 1: To end, "the killer question!"

If the innocuous body depositories of the crematoria were indeed turned respectively into undressing rooms in one place and gas chambers in another, where was it possible, day by day, to store the bodies of those who had died of natural causes? Let someone show me that area, either on the spot or in the building plans that were kept hidden until I myself discovered them! Where were the bodies put when, particularly, typhus epidemics were wreaking havoc among the detainees, the Polish and German civilians, the German soldiers and doctors in the hospital facilities reserved either for inmates or for soldiers (such as, for example, the SS *Revier*, situated a few paces away from the Auschwitz-I crematorium)? Let's recall that those depositories could be of three kinds: 1) for bodies not yet placed in coffins; 2) for bodies in coffins; 3) for infected bodies (with reinforced isolation of the room), which was the case in the Sachsenhausen-Oranienburg camp. Will someone have us believe that, being equipped with an undressing room and a gas chamber, those "Nazi" crematoria simply lacked any body depositories? **Crematoria without depositories? Only in the realm of fiction!**

Supplement 2: The alleged homicidal gas chamber of Auschwitz-I ("Everything in it is false", as Eric Conan ended up admitting)

Below, the first photo is that of the door of a genuine gas chamber *for the execution of one person alone* by hydrocyanic acid (HCN). This is an American gas chamber built according to the technique developed in the 1930s and '40s. I examined it in September 1979 at Baltimore penitentiary. For more photos and all pertinent explanations (in French), see <http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.fr/1980/02/chambre-gaz-du-penitencier-de-baltimore.html>.

The next two photos show one of the doors of an alleged gas chamber *for the killing of a crowd of people* with the same gas. This is the "gas chamber" of Auschwitz-I (main camp), thus far visited by millions of tourists. The door opens inwards, which constitutes an absurdity since the corpses strewn about on the floor inside would have prevented it from opening. The same door, closed, reveals two more absurdities, since gas would have escaped through both the keyhole and the easily breakable glass pane, thus reaching the nearby SS infirmary. [In 1995 the orthodox historian Eric Conan](#) wrote that I was right about the impressive set of "falsifications" I had discovered in 1975-1976. Numerous others have, in the past, denounced these falsifications. WHY ARE THEY STILL PASSED OVER IN SILENCE TODAY? These three photos thus invite the comparison of a door of a real execution gas chamber (located in Baltimore) on the one hand, with a door, shown first open and then closed, of an alleged execution gas chamber (located at Auschwitz-I) on the other.

A real execution gas chamber door is of steel, like all the rest of the construction, and its pane is of Herculite glass. To avoid letting the hydrocyanic acid erode the door joints and so, eventually, escape and spread outside, a vacuum must be created in the chamber. But creating a vacuum can cause a general collapse. Hence the extreme and indispensable robustness of the whole. The American humanitarians who advocated execution by gas (instead of execution by shooting, hanging or electricity, considered too cruel) imagined that nothing would be simpler than the use of gas. They were to be

disenchanted. It took American engineers seven years (1917-1924) to develop their first homicidal gas chamber. And the first execution, in 1924 in Carson City (Nevada), nearly resulted in disaster from the significant presence of lethal gas in the prison corridors after the death of the condemned man.

Finally, a series of twelve photos showing real execution gas chambers (in the United States) with their doors and, at the bottom, four photos showing the doors of a false gas chamber (at Auschwitz).



*This is a picture of an actual
German homicidal gas chamber.*





Gas chamber door, Nevada



Gas chamber door, Colorado



Gas chamber door, Wyoming



Gas chamber door, New Mexico



Gas chamber door, Colorado



Gas chamber door, Colorado



gas chamber door, Arizona



Gas chamber door, Missouri



Gas chamber door, Oregon




Gas chamber door, Mississippi



Gas chamber door, Colorado



Gas chamber door, California



Homicidal gas chamber door, Auschwitz



Homicidal gas chamber door, Auschwitz



Homicidal gas chamber door, Auschwitz

Will Poland Again Be Used to Start a World War?

By Mike King, Tomato Bubble, May 25, 2015


http://tomatobubble.com/smigly_rydz.html

Conservative Newcomer Duda Wins Polish Presidential Cliffhanger

"The Polish president is commander-in-chief of the armed forces, steers foreign policy and has the right to introduce and veto legislation. **Duda has called for NATO to station its troops on Polish soil**, amid heightened tensions with Soviet-era master Russia over the Ukraine crisis." This surprise election of a U.S. stooge calls for a trip down the memory hole. Duda, meet Smigly!

MEET THE MAN WHO STARTED WORLD WAR II

By Mike King



As regular readers well know by now, the ultimate culprits behind the disaster of World War II were the high-level masters of the New World Order crime gang (*Rothschild, Rockefeller, Sulzberger, Baruch et al*), and their skilled operational henchmen of the political world (*FDR, Churchill, Daladier, Stalin et al*). By the way, this is the same self-perpetuating crime syndicate now agitating for confrontation against Syria, Iran and ultimately Russia and China. Same game; new players, long story.

But the one thing this dirty gang could never have accomplished by themselves was to *trigger* the actual war. As even the most geographically illiterate *Boobus Americanus* or *Boobus Europithicus* should know, neither the US, nor the USSR, and nor the UK shared a common border from which to make mayhem against Hitler's Germany.

France does share a border with Germany, but when Hitler permanently renounced any claims to the disputed Alsace-Lorraine region in 1935, a possible flash-point between the two rivals was diffused forever.

Another potential trigger was diffused in 1938 when the Munich Agreement - since dubbed by propagandists and ignoramus parrots alike as "Neville Chamberlain's appeasement" - fairly settled the German-Czech dispute to the mutual benefit of Czechs, Slovaks and Germans.

Nor was Germany bound to any dangerous entangling alliances, as it had been prior to World War I when the Reich was committed to fight alongside Austria-Hungary after the Russians and French began mobilizing against that nation.



Hitler's skillful and honest diplomacy resolved the Alsace-Lorraine dispute to France's favor, and the Sudetenland problem to the benefit of all parties. Left: with UK's Neville Chamberlain, Right: with Daladier of France. Unfortunately, neither Daladier nor Chamberlain would be strong enough to hold back the continued pressure from the warmongering factions around and above them.

In November of 1938, the U.S. mid-term Congressional elections dealt a crushing blow to Franklin Delano Roosevelt's Democrat Party. With America *still* reeling from the decade-long Great Depression, absent some foreign "crisis", it appeared that the failed two-term President would not be able to seek a third term (*He ultimately held office until his death in 1945*). It should be noted that at this hard time in American history, prosperous Germany was enjoying full employment, a strong currency, the Autobahn, the Volkswagen, and a

happy reconciliation between labor and the entrepreneurial class. But I digress.

Even the claims of Jewish persecution in Germany were no longer valid. Though the dominant Jewish elite had in large measure been stripped of high positions in finance, press, government, law and academia, the truth was, the 330,000 Jews who remained in Hitler's Germany were unmolested and actually quite prosperous. Indeed, after anti-Jewish riots broke out following the 1938 Paris murder of a German diplomat by a deranged Polish Jew, it was Hitler himself who, via Goebbels, immediately issued an Emergency Order for the anti-Jewish violence (*since exaggerated in scope*) to cease.

And so, by 1939, the New World Order crime syndicate and the British & French chauvinists had nearly run out of all options and all propaganda pretexts for instigating *another* war against peaceful and prosperous Germany, as they had done in 1914. The last hopes for starting the war to re-enslave Germany rested on the shoulders of one man, and one man only. His name was **Edward Smigly-Rydz**; the criminal fool who started World War II. As is to be expected, his name is virtually unknown outside of Poland. It's high-time this dirty, rotten, ego-maniacal scoundrel gets the posthumous "credit" he so richly deserves.



After Germany was essentially tricked into laying down her arms and surrendering during World War I, its west Prussian territory was carved out, given to the new state of Poland, and, for the most part, "ethnically cleansed" of Germans. The German port city of Danzig was declared a "free city" and forbidden from rejoining Germany. East Prussia remained part of Germany but was left isolated from the mainland. This illogical and immoral configuration, and the anti-German abuses which were to take place within the "Polish Corridor", would serve as the perfect trip-wire for setting off a new war against Germany.

A Tale of Two Marshals

Born and known as Edward Rydz, the young army commander served in the Polish legions of Austro-Hungarian Empire during World War I. He later became one of the leaders of a Polish independence movement that sought to establish a Polish state carved from the Polish majority areas of Austria-Hungary and Russia. Smigly, by appointment of **Marshal Jozef Pilsudski**, became commander of the **Polish Military Organization** and adopted the 'nom de guerre' of "Smigly" (*Fast or Agile*). He later added 'Smigly' as an integral part to his surname, which tells us something about his ego. His self-promoting renaming is similar to that of Loseb Jugashvili, who later took the name "Stalin" - Man of Steel.

As a Brigadier, Smigly commanded armies during the Polish-Soviet War which followed World War I. The treaty which ended that war, the Peace of Riga, divided the

disputed territories between the relatively new state of Poland and the new Soviet Union (*fka Russian Empire*).




Pilsudski (l) and Smigly-Rydz fought for Polish statehood.

Marshal Pilsudski would go on to become Poland's head of State until his death in 1935. It is important to note that Hitler and Pilsudski were on good terms. Pilsudski had actually congratulated Hitler on winning the 1933 elections, and the **German-Polish Non-Aggression Pact** was signed just 10 months after Hitler came to power. According to the Pact, both countries pledged to resolve their problems through bilateral negotiations and to forgo armed conflict.

Just before his death, Pilsudski re-emphasized that Poland should maintain neutral relations with Germany. The death of Pilsudski proved to be a great loss for Germany - a fact which Hitler himself expressed during the closing days of World War II.



Hitler attends a Berlin Memorial Service held in honor of Pilsudski, whom he respected greatly.



Pilsudski and his Foreign Minister Beck (left) make peace with German Minister for Propaganda & Public Enlightenment, Joseph Goebbels, and German Ambassador to Poland, von Moltke.

A Dictatorship Without a Dictator

Following Pilsudski's death, Smigly-Rydz became General Inspector of the Armed Forces. From that point on, Smigly was rapidly elevated. In 1936, he was awarded the title of "Second Man in the State after the President", by the Polish prime minister. Later that year, he was promoted to the rank of Marshal of Poland. Smigly's carefully crafted image as Pilsudski's anointed successor alienated many of Pilsudski's supporters, who saw him as a shameless self-promoter.


The period of Smigly's rule, 1935–39, was often referred to as "a dictatorship without a dictator". But he lacked the moral authority of the beloved Pilsudski and many Poles were divided over their new de-facto dictator; with more than a few hating him outright. The Smigly regime became increasingly authoritarian. This was illustrated by the creation of the Ozon movement, whose objective was to build a popular mass movement that would transform the tin-pot dictator into "Poland's second great leader" (after Pilsudski himself). Several of Poland's senior politicians made a point of distancing themselves from this phony "grass-roots" cult movement.



Smigly-Rydz: always decorated like a Christmas tree and talking big.

In addition to being authoritarian, and not all that popular among his own people, the pompous Marshal had grandiose delusions of restoring the old Polish Empire of 1569–1795, in territories which had long since been devoid of Polish inhabitants (*Baltic States, Ukraine, Belarus, Czechoslovakia and Prussian Germany*). To that end, Smigly's gang embarked on a campaign of aggressive intimidation and forced annexation.

Europe 1600 AD



Dreams of restoring Poland's long gone Empire danced in 'Emperor' Smigly's mind Smigly-Rydz Strong-Arms Lithuania & Czechoslovakia


In March of 1938, Smigly issued an ultimatum to the tiny Baltic State of Lithuania. Lithuania had refused to have any diplomatic relations with Poland after 1920, protesting the annexation of the Vilnius Region by the new Polish state. The ultimatum demanded that Lithuania unconditionally agree to establish diplomatic ties with Poland within 48 hours, and that the terms be finalized within two weeks. The establishment of diplomatic relations would mean a renunciation of Lithuanian claims to the region containing its historic capital, Vilnius.

Tiny Lithuania, preferring peace to war, accepted bully-boy Smigly's ultimatum and conditions. Had Lithuania stood firm, it's quite possible that Stalin would have used the ensuing war as pretext to take the Baltic States (which he eventually did in 1940) and start the 2nd Polish-Soviet war. Such was the recklessness of Marshal Smigly.

Many in the "democratic" West, including, ironically, the anti-German New York Times, expressed dismay over Poland's militaristic bullying of Lithuania; a development so dangerous that it caused jitters among Wall Street investors. ([here](#)) But in the end, it was generally understood that Poland would be needed for bigger

things, so the West "held its nose" and tolerated Smigly's antics.

Later that same year, Smigly made a similar bold move against the Czech government when he took advantage of the Sudetenland Crisis to demand a portion of Zaolzie and some other smaller areas. The Czechs were powerless to stop the forced annexations. Again, the "democratic" West shook its head in dismay, but held its tongue.



Lithuania and Czechoslovakia were both strong-armed by 'Emperor' Smigly.



Uninvited, Polish tanks roll into annexed Zaolzie. A few Polish flags are visible, but the people don't seem too excited.

Smigly the Tyrant Eyes Danzig

In addition to the expansionist foreign policy, the Polish military junta was infamous for suppressing ethnic minorities living within its new borders. Indeed, during the 20-year history of the League of Nations, literally hundreds of formal complaints were submitted by German and other ethnic minorities trapped in the stolen lands now controlled by ultra-Nationalist Poland.



Smigly was not content with the possession and ethnic cleansing of stolen western Prussia. He also wanted control over the beautiful "free city" of German Danzig (today known as Gdansk, Poland) and eventually all of eastern Prussia too.

In 1939, supported from 'behind the scenes' by elements in the UK, France, and the US (yes, *Roosevelt was deeply involved!*), Smigly-Rydz was encouraged to ignore Hitler's sincere and generous proposals for resolving the bizarre and hated partition of Prussia that had caused tension ever since the end of World War I. **At one point, Hitler had even agreed to give up claims to western Prussia in exchange for the return of Danzig and a 1-mile wide highway - railway passage linking Germany to eastern Prussia.**

Underestimating Germany's resolve, overestimating Poland's power, and foolishly trusting in the western intriguers who were manipulating his bloated imperialistic ego, Smigly ignored Hitler's offers and ratcheted up the abuse of Germans trapped in western Prussia and Danzig. The suffering of the Prussian Germans is not "Nazi propaganda". It is historical fact which the West's "court historians" have purposely edited out of their Orwellian history books.

Believing that the western powers were truly behind him, the cowardly Smigly 'stood down' and allowed predominantly Jewish-Bolshevik terror gangs to attack innocent Germans; both within "Prussian Poland" and inside of German border towns as well. These gangs of Red "partisans", as well as other Polish ultra-nationalists, had been salivating at the prospect of triggering a Western "holy war" against Germany ever since 1933.



Murdered and castrated. Body of a minority German found near Bromberg, not yet identified.



The torture-mutilation-massacre at Bromberg occurred just 2 days after the liberating Germans arrived in western Prussia. The brutality of the mass killing gives an indication of the type of abuse that innocent Germans, trapped in Poland, had been suffering while Smigly "looked the other way".

Again, Smigly coveted the "free city" of Danzig (98% German) and wished to eventually annex all of eastern Prussia. Step by step Smigly plotted the restoration of an empire that was long gone, an Empire which even his own people didn't want. A man blinded by such ambition was easy pickings for the British - those undisputed historical masters of foreign intrigue - and also for the cunning Roosevelt and the Jewish operatives that surrounded him.

By August of 1939, Germany had exhausted all efforts to reason with Smigly's gang. The British and French urged Smigly to allow the Soviet Army to march westward, in the event that war should break out with Germany. Smigly refused, stating that: *"there is no guarantee that the Soviets will really take active part in the war; furthermore, once having entered Polish territory, they will never leave it"*.

Smigly-Rydz Forces Hitler's Hand

On September 1, 1939, after all German attempts to reason with Poland, France and Britain had failed, and after the Polish military, *at the urging of Britain*, went on


full mobilization, the Germans invaded Poland and liberated Danzig. On September 7, along with most of the government, Smigly ran from Warsaw as it came under attack. **The immediate counter-attack promised by Poland's French and British "allies" never materialized.**

Unbeknownst to the blinded idiot Smigly, the Allies had no such plans and fully expected not only the fall of Poland, but the entry of Stalin's hordes. **The Allies only interest was to have an excuse to declare war upon Germany, and then wait for Stalin to attack**

Germany from the east, necessarily having to pass through Poland. Stalin was indeed ready to pounce on a distracted Poland, but his move against Germany was to be on his time-table, not that of the Allies.

The Allies continued to ignore Hitler's impassioned pleas for peace and would spend the next eight months plotting Scandinavian-based maneuvers and deploying a massive mechanized fighting force in northern France, in anticipation of invading Germany via Belgium and Holland, sometime in the spring of 1940.

The rest, as they say, is history.



Once Smigly-Rydz had given Britain and France the phony pretext needed to declare war against Germany, he was given his 15 minutes of fame and then "thrown under the bus".

The Allies Abandon Smigly; Stalin Finishes Poland .

Smigly's ego-maniacal pipe-dream of a new Polish Empire was further crippled when the opportunistic Stalin attacked Poland from the east on September 17th; **an invasion which Britain & France, in spite of their "defense guarantees" to Poland, said nothing about!** Having picked a fight with one superpower,

Germany; and thus exposing Poland to invasion from another, the Soviet Union; Smigly-Rydz sealed his country's doom. His criminal stupidity enabled the brutal butchers of the Soviet NKVD to round up and execute 10,000 of his Polish Army officers at Katyn Forest.



Dans la forêt de Katyn (près de Smolensk, en Russie) en 1940, 22.000 Polonais sont fusillés sur ordre de Staline. C'est en 1943 que la chambre sera découverte par les Allemands.



Had Smigly followed the late Marshal Pilsudski's advice and remained on friendly terms with Germany, the Soviet invasion and the Katyn Forest massacre of his officer corps would never have happened.

Rather than choosing an honorable punishment for the disastrous folly which he drove Poland into - such as suicide or at least surrendering to the humane Germans - the coward Smigly fled to Romania. Like a true narcissist egomaniac, he deflected any and all blame for the disaster that he and he alone engineered, later stating from his Romanian hideout:

"Cost of construction of modest fortifications along our western border was equivalent to 18-month budget of Poland, and at the same time, we were working on

fortifications in the East. A modest armament plan was up to 5 billion zlotys. What was I supposed to do? I am not an economist.

*We began partial mobilization in the spring 1939. **The nation hated it, more than 1000 Silesians deserted to Germany.** We were unable to keep Poland mobilized for so long, we could not afford it."*

Pathetic! Smigly admitted that Poland was ill-equipped for war; that the nation itself did not want war; that the Soviets posed a threat from the East; and that Poland could not afford the cost of war. And yet, he purposely

missed in Hitler's face and eagerly rushed head-long into war anyway!

In defense of the accusation of cowardice in regard to his flight, Smigly issued more prideful excuses:

"They say that I am a coward. I had three options: to surrender, to kill myself, and to be captured. It was impossible to fight, as I had only half a company of soldiers with me. To kill myself meant failure."

"To kill myself meant failure", eh Smigly? That would be like the captain of the Titanic abandoning the ship that he helped to carelessly destroy, sneaking onto one of the limited lifeboats, and later declaring, *"To have stayed on the ship would have meant failure."* As it went, Captain Smith stayed on the Titanic and shot himself as the ship went down.

In light of what Smigly's folly enabled the Soviet butchers to do to his officers at Katyn Forest, the pain of remorse alone should have driven him to suicide; but that's assuming Smigly was any kind of a decent or honorable man. Clearly, he was not. Smigly-Rydz would return to Poland in 1941 to work with the Polish underground. He was said to have died of "heart failure" just a few weeks later; or did some of his fellow Poles repay him for his folly and cowardice?

Hitler Exposes Smigly

During his speech to liberated Danzig in 1939, Hitler addressed Smigly's folly:

"No power on earth would have borne this condition as long as Germany. I do not know what England would have said about a similar peace solution (Versailles) at its expense or how America or France would have accepted it. I attempted to find a solution - a tolerable solution - even for this problem. I submitted this attempt to the Polish rulers in the form of verbal proposals. You know these proposals. They were more than moderate."

I do not know what mental condition the Polish Government was in when it refused these proposals."

In that same speech, Hitler goes on to speak of Smigly's cowardice:

"The Polish Marshal (Smigly), who miserably deserted his armies, said that he would hack the German Army to pieces."

And of his cruelty:

"And martyrdom began for our German nationals. Tens of thousands were dragged off, mistreated, and murdered in the vilest fashion. Sadistic beasts gave vent to their perverse instincts, and this pious democratic world watched without blinking an eye."

And of Smigly's willful blindness:

"I have often asked myself: Who can have so blinded Poland? Does anyone really believe that the German nation will permanently stand that from such a ridiculous State? Does anyone seriously believe that?"

The highly decorated and twice-wounded war hero Hitler had this slippery, sleazy, sniveling, self-promoting Smigly clown all figured out!

It wasn't merely the fact that Marshal Smigly-Rydz was a tyrannical, imperialist, warmongering weasel that should have made his name infamous. Compounding all of these vices was his abject *stupidity*. All "Polish jokes" aside, did Smigly actually believe that Poland could defeat Germany? Really? Evidently so.



Hitler struts through the streets of Danzig while Smigly hides in Romania. (The Fuhrer is so 'bad-ass', in a good way, isn't he?)



The joyous crowds of Danzig greet their liberator.

Did the Smigster not suspect, that with his hands full fighting Germany, the bestial Stalin might capitalize on the situation and invade from the east? Evidently not.

Did His Royal Smigness not suspect that his British, French, and America "allies" were just using Poland to pick a fight with Germany, only to throw her out like a used-up lemon rind afterwards? Was Smigly not aware of how the Allies seduced the Russian Tsar to fight with them during World War I, only to refuse him asylum when he was overthrown? The Bolsheviks then captured and murdered the Tsar and his entire family.

Was Smigly not aware of how the British, during World War I, encouraged the Arabs to rise up against the Ottoman Turkish Empire, only to renege on promises made to them and then steal Palestine as well?

Ironically, what Smigly-Rydz was not able to see was, again, very clear to Hitler. In that same Danzig speech, Hitler spoke about how Poland had been played for chumps:

"For these men (British warmongers) Poland, too, was only a means to an end. Because today it is being declared quite calmly that Poland was not the primary thing, but that the German regime is. I always warned against these men. I pointed out the danger that in a certain country such men could rise and unmolested preach the necessity of war - Herren Churchill, Eden, Duff-Cooper, etc."

The circumstances surrounding the outbreak of this unnecessary war haunted Hitler until his dying day. Hours before his suicide, Hitler dictated his final political testament; in which he stated:

"It is untrue that I or anyone else in Germany wanted the war in 1939. It was desired and instigated exclusively by those international

statesmen who were either of Jewish descent or worked for Jewish interests.

Three days before the outbreak of the German-Polish war I again proposed to the British ambassador in Berlin a solution to the German-Polish problem—similar to that in the case of the Saar district, under international control. This offer also cannot be denied. It was only rejected because the leading circles in English politics

wanted the war, partly on account of the business hoped for and partly under influence of propaganda organized by international Jewry."

The modern "educated" mind, so twisted by 75 years of false propaganda, may find it difficult, if not impossible, to even begin to process such a claim. And yet, an objective analysis of the events of 1939 leads us to the inescapable conclusion that the man was telling the truth!



Hitler saw how the Allies were playing Smigly-Rydz for a chump; and spoke publicly about it months before the war had even started. Wasn't Smigly listening?

Will History Repeat?

Smigly's imperial folly, criminal negligence, and astonishing stupidity not only doomed Poland, but the world as well. That's what happens when a man is blinded by his ego and his ambitions.

Given these true facts about the horrible historical record of Marshal Smigly-Rydz, one would think that, in spite of the conventional misunderstanding of World War II, the Poles would universally hold his memory in contempt. But that is not the case. Marshal Edward Smigly-Rydz Park is a large tree-covered public park in Warsaw that honors the memory of the man who not only started World War II at the behest of his western manipulators, but doomed his nation to Soviet conquest, mass killings, and nearly 50 years of Communism.





Honoring Smigly? Really? Come on Poland! Are you flippin' serious?

One would also think that Poland learned a valuable historical lesson about 'messin' with super-powers. And yet, the current Polish government, much to the dismay of more than a few Poles, is taking the lead in provoking Putin's Russia on behalf of its EU and US handlers! How does the old adage go? *"Those who fail to learn from history are doomed to repeat it"*.



US and Polish troops continue to drill for war against Russia.



Brainwashed Poles protest Putin, who, like Hitler, has never threatened them in any way.



Polish President Komorowski plays the modern day role of Smigly to Obama's Roosevelt.

...now read how the 9/11 narrative is being re-tooled through another false premise: Bin Laden's reading material embraced books written by known conspiracy theorists...but bin Laden died in 2001, before 9/11 happened!



Poland to accept US missiles on its soil, eh? Smart move Poland. Smigly-Rydz would be proud.



Hitler and Putin - two dynamic leaders who refused to submit to The New World Order, what Putin calls 'The Unipolar World'

Comment: Dagmar Brenne

We have no idea of the power of hatred. Poland was insanely envious of Germany, resulting in a hatred without limits. Some people are bemoaning the killing of the 10,000 Polish army officers at Katyn. But are soldiers not there to do or die? Well, the ones "doing" were the Russians and the ones "dying" were the Poles. Unfortunately, the Germans who found the dead were blamed for their death for many years even until today and some Germans paid for this Russian warfare by execution. No doubt that suited the Poles well. The Polish Army of Marshal Edward Śmigły-Rydz was set to march on Berlin, with the express order of "no prisoners to be taken, no mercy shown, to the amazement of the world". With such supreme hatred I can only thank the Russians for the elimination of this Polish threat to Germany. It was bad enough with the remainder of Poles. I cannot cry for Katyn!

Dagmar

Mike King's website:

<http://www.tomatobubble.com/>

Bin Laden believed 9/11 was inside job: US documents

Wednesday May 20, 2015 5:33PM



In the last days of his life, Osama bin Laden realized that the September 11, 2001 attacks in the United States were an inside job, and were not carried out by al-Qaeda militants, according to newly released US intelligence documents.

On Wednesday, the Obama administration released an extensive list of materials what they said were collected by US Navy SEALs during the 2011 raid that killed Bin Laden.

Among the Bin Laden treasure-trove, there were several books, including David Ray Griffin's [New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11](#), which have challenged the US government narrative on the 9/11 attacks.



A man stands in the rubble after the collapse of the first World Trade Center Tower September 11, 2001, in New York.



People covered in dust walk over debris near the World Trade Center in New York City, on September 11, 2001.

The September, 11, 2001 attacks, also known as the 9/11 attacks, were a series of strikes in the US which killed nearly 3,000 people and caused about \$10 billion worth of property and infrastructure damage.

US officials assert that the attacks were carried out by al-Qaeda terrorists but many experts have raised questions about the official account.

They believe that rogue elements within the US government orchestrated or at least encouraged the 9/11 attacks in order to accelerate the US war machine and advance the Zionist agenda.

Washington announced on May 2, 2011 that Bin Laden was killed in his compound in Abbottabad, Pakistan, by US special forces and CIA operatives. He was the founder of al-Qaeda, the terrorist organization that allegedly claimed responsibility for the September 11, 2001 attacks on the United States.


On Wednesday, the US Office of the Director of National Intelligence released a number of documents what it said were picked up by the SEALs at Bin Laden's compound in Abbottabad.



The US national security team gathered on May 2, 2011 in the White House Situation Room to monitor the progress of the American mission to assassinate Osama bin Laden.

Among the hundreds of communiqués, messages and extremist literature was a collection of English-language books, including:

America's "War on Terrorism" by Michel Chossudovsky,
Bloodlines of the Illuminati by Fritz Springmeier,
Bounding the Global War on Terror by Jeffrey Record,
Hegemony or Survival: America's Quest for Global Dominance by Noam Chomsky,
Imperial Hubris by Michael Scheuer,
Necessary Illusions: Thought Control in Democratic Societies by Noam Chomsky,
New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11 by David Ray Griffin,
Rogue State: A Guide to the World's Only Superpower by William Blum,
Secrets of the Federal Reserve by Eustace Mullins.



***New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11* by David Ray Griffin**

"In terms of the materials that are there, some of the things that we've found to be of note were that Bin Laden was probably an avid conspiracy theorist," a senior US intelligence official told BuzzFeed News.


"Of the 38 full length English language books he had in his possession, about half of them were conspiracy theory books," the official added.

Independent analysts, dubbed by the mainstream US media as conspiracy theorists, have always maintained that the September 11, 2001 attacks have been covered-up by the FBI and senior US officials because it was the "mother of all false flag" operations.

GJH/GJH

<http://www.presstv.ir/Detail/2015/05/20/412054/Osama-bin-Laden-911-inside-job>

**Fredrick Töben reviews Joshua Blakeney's
Japan Bites Back: Documents Contextualizing Pearl Harbour**



About the Author:

Prolific author and broadcaster, Joshua Blakeney, has done what mainstream media, and especially Revisionist historians, have not done: present a balanced and convincing contextualization of the role Japan played during World War Two. But before I review his book, let's meet Joshua through the eyes of a release from their [Non Alignment Media](#) website, which prides itself in carrying an excellent but dangerous motto to live by:

Question Everything!



Joshua Blakeney is a freelance journalist based in Calgary, Alberta, Canada who was born 4.5 miles from where the Magna Carta was signed in Surrey, UK. He has been Press TV's Canadian correspondent since April 2010. His writings have been published in an array of internationally reputed media venues including Global Research.ca, Rabble.ca, Pravda, Voltaire.net.org, Coldtype.net, The Canadian Dimension, The Canadian Charger, Veterans Today, The Sovereign Independent and The Information Clearing House. He is a co-host of The Real Deal radio show and co-founder of Non-Aligned Media.

As of 27 May 2015 Blakeney's website's latest headline speaks for itself: [Released DIA document: US facilitated rise of ISIS](#), written by [Brandon Martinez](#), another dynamic member of the team at [Non-Aligned Media](#).

The Book:

Let's begin with a quotation from Chapter 7 at p 217 of this 350-page book:

Then what has brought about this agreement? It is the outcome of mutual ideological antagonism between those powers which seek to maintain the old political, economic and other structures and those which are dissatisfied with the injustice of the old order and are determined to bring about a fundamental reform in the existing international and national structures. Recent intensification of this antagonism has been so great that it finally lead to the conclusion of the treaty in question

as nothing but a natural outcome. The Powers upholding the old order virtually formed a league of their own, and, in order to preserve what they have, they kept opposing the rightful claims of the nations hitherto treated unfairly in international affairs. Consistent efforts were made to bring political and economic pressure to bear upon the latter countries. Finding their positions intolerable under the series of pressures, the reformist Powers felt that the only way of survival and future growth for them was in a firm combination on the basis of their ideological similarities. What actually has developed into the three Power pact, therefore, is the result of forced circumstances and was an historical necessity.

What's all this about? It sounds like something that's just come out of Washington/Tel Aviv or Moscow, or from Teheran, Damascus or even out of Brussels. Its content sounds so modern, but it is in fact taken from the nine-page Chapter headed: *The Three Power Pact*, written by Nobumasa Suyetsugu and first published in November 1940, which analyses the tripartite treaty between Japan, Germany and Italy of 27 September 1940.

A glance at the chapter headings gives an overview of the Japanese attempt to present their world view between 1937-40:

1. The Sino-Japanese Conflict: A Short Survey – October 1937;
2. The Bolshevization of China – December 1937;
3. The American Position: Cat's-Paw or Common Sense? – December 1937;
4. Japan's Continental Policy – September 1938;
5. Totalitarianism versus Democracy – May 1939;
6. The Anglo-American Common Front – November 1940;
7. The Three Power Pact – November 1940;
8. Genesis of the Pacific War – original publication date unknown.

In view of what orthodox history teaches us about the intractable and evil Japanese, A subheading, ***Japan's Memorandum To The American Government***, of 7 December 1941, which concludes the book's selection of documents, is heartbreaking to read:

Obviously it is the intention of the American Government to conspire with Great Britain and other countries [Australia, Netherlands and Chungking regime] to obstruct Japan's efforts toward the establishment of peace through the creation of a new order in East Asia, and especially to preserve Anglo-American rights and interests by keeping Japan and China at war. This intention has been revealed clearly during the course of the present negotiations. Thus, the earnest hope of the Japanese Government to adjust Japanese-American relations and to preserve and promote the peace of the Pacific through cooperation with the American Government has finally been lost.

The Japanese Government regrets to have to notify hereby the American Government that in view of the attitude of the American Government it cannot but consider that it is impossible to reach an agreement through further negotiations.

And in his 27-page *Introduction - The War on Truth*, Blakeney mentions the factor that enabled the isolationist USA to become involved against Japan and in the Pacific region – as occurred again so obviously after the 9/11 tragedy: the Jewish/Israeli/Zionist factor.

Blakeney's list of Jews meddling within this region for the sake of Communist-Zionist supremacism is detailed and not that well-known to the Revisionists who have dealt with this "Jewish problem" mainly in relation to matters Holocaust, which has now become an outright global issue.

It brought a smile to me when I read one of Blakeney's original concepts emerge while focusing on the role of Revisionists in this battle. He refers to them as *kamikaze intellectuals*, but is quick to qualify this by stating:

In the current environment it is necessary for me to clarify that I am using the epithet kamikaze metaphorically here and do not encourage the use of violence – a wise caveat on an otherwise perceptive observation!

It is refreshing to read how Blakeney successfully attempts to "demythologize the victor's history of the era" by pointing out how the US began its psychological warfare against the Japanese by removing "all evidence of Imperial Japan having ever been involved in 'good things' while promoting narratives irrespective of historical facts, which depicted the ousted Japanese Government as having been solely a fountain of 'bad things'". This demonological process encountered a hitch early on when Justice Radhabinod Pal expatiated his dissentient verdict at the International Military Tribunal for the Far East which had been convened on April 29, 1946 to prosecute much of the deposed Japanese leadership. Pal, a subject of the British-ruled Indian subcontinent, adjudged that Tokyo's actions during the War did not constitute a war crime and rebuffed many of the hypocritical allegations levelled at the dislodged Japanese Government. He exposed the principle of 'victor's justice' inherent to the Tribunal with a burst of clarity and justice that the German equivalents of those on trial in Tokyo would not receive."

But now it is time for the readers of these notes to get their own copy of Blakeney's highly informative book, and to read the original Japanese documents, in translation, which escaped the book-burning that the US conducted so as to eradicate any evidence of Japan having been a civilized nation.

<http://www.amazon.com/Japan-Bites-Back-Documents-Contextualizing/dp/1507785615/>

Productivity

Now have a glance at some of Joshua Blakeney's end January-beginning April 2015 work output – and that's not counting his *PRESS TV* and other assorted written and on-air appearances; then look/read/listen to the topics he covers, and the analytical details offered. If you have the time, then listen to his approach to contentious issues, and do not be amazed that this man had a clash with his university teachers who couldn't handle his acutely questioning mindset.

Then, let's hope the non-aligned media will for a long time to come retain its alignment to the firm moral and intellectual integrity it exudes today.

JOSHUA BLAKENEY INTERVIEWS PROF. CEMIL AYDIN

Prof. Cemil Aydin on Pan-Asianism & Pan-Islamism


[Joshua Blakeney](#) January 26, 2015

[Interviews, Media, Radio, Video](#)

Today, January 26, 2015, I interviewed Professor Cemil Aydin, [member of](#) the History department at the University of North Carolina and [author of](#) the thought-provoking text *The*

Politics of Anti-Westernism in Asia. We covered a wide range of topics during the show, including:

- *The differences and similarities between the Ottoman Empire's Pan-Islamism and Imperial Japan's Pan-Asianism
- *Orientalism, reverse-Orientalism and overcoming essentialized geographies



- *The contradiction between the West's promotion of a universal modernity and the ethnic exceptionalism inherent to European imperialism
- *Japanese modernization as a threat to Western hegemony and Communist expansionism in Asia
- *Paul Richard and Occidental defences of Japanese civilizational discourses
- *The orientalization of seemingly inorganic ideologies in Asia
- *Perceived biases in the historiography of WWII
- *Shūmei Ōkawa and the evolution of Pan-Asian populist ideology
- *Justice Radhabinod Pal's dissentient verdict at the Tokyo Trials and its implications for the victors' history of WWII
- *The pros and cons of developing counterfactual historical narratives
- *The benefits of the multipolar world for peripheral nations

N.B. – Prof. Cemil Aydin does not necessarily support or endorse the content and basic arguments of Non-Aligned Media. <http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/01/prof-cemil-aydin-pan-asianism-pan-islamism/>

Dr Kevin Barrett Interviews Joshua Blakeney About His New Book

[Joshua Blakeney](#) February 20, 2015

On February 20, 2015 I was interviewed by Dr. Kevin Barrett about my [new book](#) *Japan Bites Back*. Dr. Barrett had read my book prior to the interview and characterized it as "**a fascinating contribution to World War II revisionism.**" Many subjects were broached during the show, including:

- *The connections between Imperial Japan and the Islamic World
- *The biases of the Nuremberg Tribunal and the Tokyo Trials
- *The attempt by Zionists to abolish freedom of speech and impose a global ban upon WWII revisionism
- *The questioning of the victors' history of WWII by mainstream journalist Henry Stokes
- *Harry Dexter White, Henry Morgenthau and the Zionist/Soviet infiltration of the FDR administration
- *The anti-colonial leaders who collaborated with Imperial Japan
- *The Greater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere
- *The McCollum Memo and anti-Japanese provocations prior to the Pearl Harbor attack
- *Isoroku Yamamoto and political factionalism in Japan in the 1930s and 40s
- *Yukio Mishima and post-war Japanese cultural struggles

<http://www.amazon.com/Japan-Bites-Back-Documents-Contextualizing-ebook/dp/B00T3OR520/>
<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/02/dr-kevin-barrett-interviews-joshua-blakeney-new-book/>

Deanna Spingola Interviews Joshua Blakeney About His New Book

[Joshua Blakeney](#) February 24, 2015

On February 23, 2015, I was interviewed by Deanna Spingola about my [new book](#) *Japan Bites Back*. Numerous topics were covered in the two-hour show, including:

- *Commodore Perry's gunboat diplomacy and connections to the Rothschilds
- *"Book burning" in post-war Japan
- *The injustices of the Tokyo Trials
- *The criminalization of pro-Japanese intellectuals by the FDR administration
- *Communist infiltration of the White House
- *The post-1912 warlordism in China
- *False false-flags versus genuine false-flags
- *Freedom of speech in Japan as a "threat of a good example" to the Zionist thought-police
- *The rise of Communist China as a hegemon in Asia
- *Historical revisionism as a means of undermining contemporary jingoism

<http://www.amazon.com/Japan-Bites-Back-Documents-Contextualizing-ebook/dp/B00T3OR520/>
<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/02/deanna-spinola-interviews-joshua-blakeney-new-book/>

JOSHUA BLAKENEY INTERVIEWS EUGÈNE MONTSALVAT **Joshua Blakeney March 1, 2015**

I interviewed writer and philosopher Eugène Montsalvat on February 26, 2015. I was prompted to contact Eugène after stumbling across his [thought-provoking article](#) "The Necessity of Anti-Colonialism" on [the website](#) of Counter-Currents Publishing.

One of the attractive features of most members of the New Right is their toleration for conflicting viewpoints within their movement. This is a characteristic that is increasingly foreign to the increasingly censorious Left who have assumed the role of enforcers of political correctness on behalf of those in power.

As a relatively new student of New Right thought, it strikes me that one of the chief issues upon which there exists a plurality of viewpoints is *Empire*. Should European people be proud or ashamed of their Empires of yesteryear? To what extent did the European Empires differ from the globally dominant Zio-American Empire of today? These questions are addressed by Eugène in his masterful prose. Citing thinkers such as Julius Evola, Friedrich Nietzsche and Jean Thiriart he contends that **"European colonialism began when the soul of Europe died."**

We discussed a variety of issues in the show, including:

- *The roots of Euro-American Imperialism
- *The legacy of post-Enlightenment Liberalism for European peoples
- *Christian-Muslim/White-Arab-Persian alliances as the antidote to the Zionist "War on Terror"
- *The problem of not situating domestic White-Muslim interactions within a geopolitical framework
- *The problem of failing to acknowledge the existence of false-flag terrorism when discussing White-Muslim relations
- *The Ukrainian "revolution" as top-down social change
- *Ernst Niekisch and National Bolshevism
- *The thought of Francis Parker Yockey, Jean Thiriart and Julius Evola and its implications for understanding the Muslim World
- *Eugène's blog can be viewed [here](#)
- *His articles can be viewed [here](#)
- *Learn more about Joshua Blakeney's new book [here](#)

<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/03/joshua-blakeney-interviews-eugene-montsalvat/>

JOSHUA BLAKENEY INTERVIEWS BRANDON MARTINEZ **Joshua Blakeney March 19, 2015**

On March 19, 2015 I interviewed Brandon Martinez, co-founder of Non-Aligned Media, about his [new book](#) *The ISIS Conspiracy*. We addressed multiple topics in the show, including:

- *Recent accusations of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) recruiting members of ISIL
- *The failure of mainstream journalists and academics to properly interpret the phenomenon of terrorism in the West
- *The Zionist attempt to stifle terrorism-skepticism in the West
- *Zionist involvement in false-flag terrorism
- *The Harper regime's proposed Bill C-51 and the criminalization of "terrorist propaganda"

- *British false-flag terrorism in Ireland
- *The Oded Yinon Plan and the destabilization of the Middle East
- *The failure of both the anti-war Left and the New Right to accurately analyze the phenomenon of terrorism in the West
- *The persecution of intellectuals, artists and comedians in France

<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/03/joshua-blakeney-interviews-brandon-martinez/>

JOSHUA BLAKENEY ON RED ICE RADIO **Joshua Blakeney March 30, 2015**

On March 12, 2015 I was interviewed by Swedish radio host Henrik Palmgren of Red Ice Creations. The show was broadcasted this week. Hour one is available [for free](#) on the Red Ice Radio website and hour two can be procured via the Red Ice Members [page](#).

It was a good opportunity for me to discuss the content of [my new book](#) *Japan Bites Back* and reach a sizeable listenership. According to the show's producers, their audience can sometimes reach 400,000 people. We discussed the following topics in the show:

- *The parallels between the demonization of Iran and the demonization of Imperial Japan
- *Communist subversion of the FDR White House
- *The legal, procedural and historical flaws of the Tokyo Trials
- *Shūmei Ōkawa and the evolution of Pan-Asianist ideology
- *The Monroe Doctrine versus the Open Door Policy in China
- *Pearl Harbor and Allied provocations against Japan
- *The fraudulent interpretations of the origins of WWII
- *The aversion of Zionists to free historical inquiry
- *White/Christian and Muslim/Persian/Arab alliances
- *Allied atrocities in Japan in 1945
- *Japanese connectedness to the land

<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/03/joshua-blakeney-on-red-ice-radio-hour-one/>

A MESSAGE FROM JOSHUA BLAKENEY: **SIGNED BOOKS AVAILABLE** **Joshua Blakeney April 2, 2015**

I have been receiving requests from followers of my work to provide them with signed copies of my book *Japan Bites Back*. Heretofore, the book has been purchased from [Amazon](#) or [Createspace](#). However, if individuals would like to acquire a signed copy then they can make a request at:

josh.vivelarevolucion@gmail.com

I would like to thank all of those who have purchased the book since its publication. Book royalties and donations enable both myself and my colleague Brandon Martinez to subsidize future projects and maintain the Non-Aligned Media website.

<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/04/signed-copies-of-joshua-blakeney-book-now-available/>

Joshua Blakeney on Red Ice Radio **– A Sample From Hour Two** **Joshua Blakeney April 3, 2015**

Earlier this week I shared the [first hour](#) of my recent [Red Ice Radio](#) appearance which seems to have been well received. I've been contacted by many Red Ice listeners thanking me for my analysis. As such, I thought it might be useful to share a segment of the second hour of that show which is only accessible *in toto* to [members](#) of Red Ice Creations. Topics broached in this sample of the interview include:

- The motivations for dropping atomic bombs upon the people of Hiroshima and Nagasaki
- The theatrics of the Cold War
- Japan's role in the founding of the Non-Aligned Movement
- The import of debunking "just war" discourses
- False-flag terrorism and the fraudulent "war on terrorism"
- Ideological constraints on historical research in Western academia
- Michel Foucault's thought in relation to historical revisionism

<http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/04/joshua-blakeney-on-red-ice-radio-a-sample-from-hour-two/>